



000171

- ☐ No additional claim fee is required.
- ☒ An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below:

AMENDED CLAIMS					
	No. of Claims	Highest No. of Claims Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Additional Fee
Total Claims	35	33	2	x \$ 50 (1202)	\$ 100
Independent Claims	3	3	0	x \$ 200 (1201)	0
<input type="checkbox"/> If Amendment adds multiple dependent claims, add \$ 360 (1203)					\$ 0
Total Claim Amendment Fee					\$ 100
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Status claimed - subtract 50% of Total Claim Amendment Fee					0
TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					\$ 100

- ☐ Charge _____ to Deposit Account No. 02-4800 for the fee due.
- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is enclosed for the fee due.
- ☒ Charge \$100.00 to credit card for the fee due. Form PTO-2038 is attached.
- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date 9-8-2006

By: 
William C. Rowland
Registration No. 30888

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
703 836 6620



Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 1018798-000171

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	
Anna-Carin Elfstrom et al.)	Group Art Unit: 3761
Application No.: 10/612,154)	Examiner: MELANIE JO HAND
Filed: July 3, 2003)	Confirmation No.: 4120
For: ABSORBENT PRODUCT FOR MEN)	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesy of the Interview granted May 17, 2006. During the Interview, Applicants' attorney explained the purpose behind the submission of drawings that was later filed on June 6, 2006. See the explanation included with the Submission of Drawings filed on June 6, 2006. In addition, Applicants' attorney discussed the prior art Rooyakkers with the Examiner. Applicants' attorney explained the reasons why they believed that Rooyakkers did not anticipate the claimed invention. Such reasons were similar to those set forth in the response filed on May 1, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: 9-8-2006

By: William C. Rowland
William C. Rowland
Registration No. 30888

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
703 836 6620